I wish to categorically state before the House that the Department of Defence of the Government of India has not appointed any agent authorised to act on its behalf in respect of any defence contract.

I would also like to assure the House that the most stringent procedures have been laid down to ensure quality and technical parameters of equipment procured by the Department of Defence. No equipment is purchased without technical certification of acceptability from the concerned Service Headquarters.

On assuming office, the Prime Minister reiterated the existing instructions that the Department of Defence should not deal with any non-governmental agent of a foreign supplier in respect of any commercial negotiations. The Prime Minister also directed that foreign governments and suppliers should be told unequivocally about the decision. This policy directive has been enforced rigorously by the Department of Defence with satisfactory results.

SHORT DURATION DISCUSSION ON THE REPORTED DECISION OF THE GOVERNMENT TO INSTITUTE AN INQUIRY IN TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF COMMISSION AGENTS IN CERTAIN DEFENCE DEALS

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Mohanan will initiate the debate.

SHRI K. MOHANAN (Kerala): Madam Deputy Chairman, before I come to the point of discussion today and the statement made by the hon. Minister on the floor of this House just now, I am happy to note that the Congress (I) Party became suddenly aware of the threats from some foreign as well as Indian agencies which are trying to destabilise this Government and this country. In this regard, Madam, I would like to quote from the Resolution passed by the Congress Working Committee. In the last para of that Resolution it is stated—I quote:

> 'The events of the last six weeks established beyond doubt a pattern of destabilisation in which external forces hostile to India have been revealed to have inexplicable links with internal forces...'

AN HON. MEMBER: Any objection? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI K. MOHANAN: It concludes with the comments: "The Working Committee declared its unflinching determination to combat these forces of destabilisation". (*Interruptions*) I do agree with you. On earlier occasions so many times on the floor of this House itself, from the opposition side and especially from the side of my party, we have raised this question of certain forces working to destabilise this country and to destabilise the political situation in this region. We have raised this point in the background of the situation arising especially out of the Punjab incidents, the Assam incidents and the Gorkhaland agitation. What was your attitude to it then? Either you were silent or you were deliberately evading a discussion regarding the forces of destabilisation. Now you have suddenly become aware of these forces of destabilisation inside the country. I do agree. Before I start my points on the main discussion today, I demand from the Government and the Congress (I) Party to come out with the details about the forces which are working to destabilise the Government and this country. But you are not prepared for that. Even now, you are utilising it as a propaganda and talking about the forces of destabilisation to cover the deeds or misdeeds of your Government and the corruption you have indulged in utilising the money of the Exchequer and the public money. The skeletons are coming out of the cupboard one after another. Everybody knows about the Fairfax or the submarine deal or the artillery deal with

Bofors. So many things are there. The skeletons are coming out of the cupboard one after another. If you are sincere and if you are honest enough in this regard, you have to come out with the details about the forces of destabilisation. Madam, I demand a separate debate on this particular issue.

Now, Madam, our Prime Minister, Mr. Rajiv Gandhi, came to hold the office of Prime Minister with the promise of clean public life and administration. How can he be honest when we are discussing these deeds or misdeeds which directly involve the name of the Prime Minister himself? Therefore, he was * when he said—and Members on the other side are still saying—that he was for clean public life and administration. I cannot understand that. Madam, I am not personally...

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA (Maharashtra): On a point of order. He has used the word* without being specific about the context in which he is using that word. I would like him to withdraw that word.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: I cannot say he is honest. I am sorry Madam. I am really sorry. (*Interruptions*) How can I call a * man as honest? It is impossible for me to call him honest.

DR (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: How can you justify that? You have to clarify that.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI K. MOHANAN: It is unparliamentary, I want to know. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down.

SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL (Punjab): The Members cannot have the liberty to say anything they like...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I will just say that the word will be expunged.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH (Rajasthan): Madam Deputy Chairman, I am on a point of order... (*Interruptions*) Madam Deputy Chairman, one of the inherent aspects of the debate is the right of a Member to say what he wishes to say. The right of the Chair to expunge... (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Will you please let me listen to him? Please let me know what he has to say.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, I just heard you say that you have expunged a certain reference made by the hon. Member. The right of expunction is, of course, that of the Chair. But the right of expunction by the Chair is not a total right, it is subject to what is parliamentary and what is not parliamentary. And, therefore, if you are today ruling that the word '*' is unparliamentary, please reflect very deeply on it because the word '*' is not unparliamentary. And I appeal to you, Madam, not to so universally apply the right of expunction.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have followed what you said. In the list of unparliamentary expressions which have been given, if it is referred to a Minister *, it is unparliamentary. And that is why it is expunged. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA (Andhra Pradesh): What is that you have quoted?

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH (West Bengal): Madam, please read out the context.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: They have given a list here of words which are unparliamentary where it has been given '*' (*Interruptions*).

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: That means you cannot call *

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Do you want a * Minister to be called an honest Minister? Is it your ruling? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Tomorow if a Minister is caught taking bribe, you cannot call him *, you call him honest.

THE MINISTER OF COMMERCE (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): Not only that. I cannot call you a * Member. That is also wrong.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: You call. It doesn't matter. I challenge you to prove your honesty. You prove your honesty. We are only challenging you to prove your honesty. Nothing else. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Order, please.

AN HON. MEMBER: Reference to a party is not unparliamentary.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. I am giving a ruling on that also. Even with reference to a Party, if you say that, even that is unparliamentary.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, I am on a point of order...

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY (Andhra Pradesh): I challenge the ruling party...

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, I am on a point of order. I want a clarification from you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Anand Sharma is on a point of order before you. So, I will give him permission first and then after him you can speak

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Madam, let me finish first.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA (Himachal Pradesh): Madam,....

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Can we say that even the Prime Minister is not above board? Is it admissible? I want a ruling from you.

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: Madam Deputy Chairman, I am on a point of order...

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): With regard to the issue raised by Mr. Upendra, the Rules of Procedure of the Rajya Sabha state that a Member shall not reflect upon the conduct of persons in high authority unless the discussion is based on a substantive motion drawn in proper terms. Here the motion is very clear. It is a statement on the inquiry ordered by the former Minister of Defence into the payment of commission by the supplier to an Indian agent in a defence deal. There is no substantive motion against a Minister.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, on a point of order...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: No please. I will request the hon. Members to please keep quite and allow him to continue.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, he has yielded to me. Please do not come in now. He has yielded to me. When he has yielded you do not come into the picture. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI ANAND SHARMA: Madam, Deputy Chairman, this House is discussing a substantive motion and the only objective of this debate is to elicit information from the Government. Now when this motion is being discussed after the statement of the Minister of Defence, now they have put certain parameters for this discussion. May I know whether this

forum, this House can be used for political insinuations? We want a direction from the Chair. What is the objective of this debate? What is the objective of the debate, we want to know from the Chair.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, I want to raise a point of order...

SHRI SURESH KALMADAI (Maharashtra): Madam, you have given a ruling. How can you have a point of order on a ruling?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I do not know what the point of order is. Let me listen and then I will give the ruling.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI (Maharashtra): After you gave the ruling, nothing has transpired in the House to call for a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let him raise the point of order and then only I will know what he has to say.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, I am grateful to my eminent colleague, Mr. Mohanan, to have yielded the floor to me. He has yielded the floor to me. I have not risen on a point of order in that sense to interrupt him. He has been courteous enough to yield to me. I wish to submit two points. With reference to what my colleague, Mr. Anand Sharma said about political insinuations, I may submit, this is a political body; this is a political House... (*Interruptions*) And certainly, Madam, you referred to 'Unparliamentary Expressions'. When you refer to 'Unparliamentary Expressions', I appeal to you to please reconsider it because this is not a law book; this is not a rules book. This gives only illustrative examples, and the example that you had pointed out, in fact, refers to the other House. Please don't tie this House down for posterity and for future with a ruling which does not apply to us. This is not a rules book. This is not a book on the rules and procedures of this House. The example that is being used, refers to the other House. Please reflect very deeply on the right of expunction that you are today exercising because you are tying down the rights of this House. (*Interruptions*)

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: Rules are made by the Chair. Madam, you have given your ruling, and that is a rule.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: We admit there are all honourable men in the Shakespeare drama. We admit. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH (Gujrat): You cannot get up on your own. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: There is no necessity to change what has been given here. It has been after a careful consideration that these expressions have been recorded in the book and it is not necessary to change it. Mr. Mohanan may please continue.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: They are all honourable men, I know. But now we are discussing something dishonest to the country itself, and the Prime Minister himself is not above suspicion—whether I can say that he was not honest, in terms of deplacing that particular word *, I don't know. At least, he is not Caesar's wife. At least, he was not fair to the truth.

Madam, I am not personally concerned about the resignation of Mr. V. P. Singh. But what I am really concerned with the circumstances which led to the resignation of the then Defence Minister after ordering an enquiry regarding some kickbacks affairs in connection with the Defence deal. Even after the inhuman attack made by his own party members on the other side, Mr. Singh is still a member of the Congress Party.

SHRI VISHWANATH PRATAP SINGH (Uttar Pradesh): And I continue to be so.

---

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: But at their mercy... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Everything that we are discussing is fishy.

(*Interruptions*)

I have heard from Mr. V. P. Singh what exactly I expected from him. That is why I am saying that Mr. V. P. Singh is part and parcel of all these deeds and misdeeds. I am not concerned about the resignation of Mr. V. P. Singh. I am concerned about the circumstances which led to his resignation. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY (Tamil Nadu): Madam, I am on a point of order. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: What point of order there can be when he is continuing his speech. There is no point of order. Please sit down.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: The only controversy inside the Congress Party is...

AN HON. MEMBER: No controversy.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Madam, the only controversy inside the Congress Party was who was more helpful in these misdeeds, whether it was Mr. V. P. Singh or Members like Mr. N. K. P. Salve or Mr. Kalpnath Rai and others. This is the only controversy inside the Congress Party. I think, Mr. V. P. Singh... (*Interruptions*). Please hear me. (*Interruptions*). The resignation of Mr. V. P. Singh is very much connected with these deals. That is why, I am mentioning this. (*Interruptions*) Madam, I think, compared to Mr. N. K. P. Salve and some other Members on the other side, Mr. V. P. Singh was not very much competent in this regard. The taxpayer of this country, the Opposition in this country, is not very much concerned about your infight. You have your own intentions. You have your own plans and you have your own desires behind that. But what the taxpayer of this country is concerned with is whether the money which he is paying to the Exchequer is being handled in a proper manner or not. That is why the Opposition is always for truth. We want to find out the facts. That is why we are demanding a fair enquiry into all these things by appointing a committee of Parliament. (*Interruptions*) Madam, the Prime Minister, Mr. Rajiv Gandhi, has said that anybody who criticises the defence expenditure is anti-national. We do not want any certificate from him regarding our patriotism.

SHRI KALPNATH RAI (Uttar Pradesh): He has not said it. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI K. MOHANAN: I will speak everything connected with the discussion. Why are you so impatient? (*Interruptions*) Mr. Brahm Dutt mentioned in the House the other day that they are having some indigenous philosophy. The Prime Minister is accusing us that our philosophy is an imported one. (*Interruptions*). We know what types of items are being imported from other countries including Italy.*** We know that.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE (Maharashtra): Madam, I am on a point of order. I am on a very serious question. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am warning Mr. Mohanan not to use such derogatory remarks please. Please withdraw these remarks. You have to withdraw the words (*Interruptions*). He has to withdraw these words.

SHRI THANGABAALU (Tamil Nadu): He has to tender an apology.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: If there is anything unparliamentary, I do withdraw it. (*Interruptions*).

***Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

[Shri K. Mohan]

Madam, I withdraw it. (*Interruptions*). Please ask them not to provoke this side unnecessarily.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Your time is already up. You please conclude. Let others also speak.

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: I am on a point of order. Rajya Sabha is a very august House. We have certain standards of speech and debate. Madam you should give a direction to the Members that they should behave properly and speak properly so that the high standard of Rajya Sabha is maintained.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Thank you very much for your valuable teaching and advice. Thank you for your valuable advice, with all respect to you.

Now, Madam the point of discussion is regarding the inquiry ordered by the former Defence Minister into a misdeed involved in a defence contract. We do not know which was this deal, how much money is involved and who was the agent, whether he is an Indian or an NRI or a foreigner. In all these things, whether any Italian mafia is involved...

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Or Chinese.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: All right, what is there?

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: You have better relations with China.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: We do not know, but in his statement the Defence Minister has stated, "the information was furnished by a foreign Government. Keeping in view sensitivities pertaining to international relations. I do not propose to divulge any information on the subject matter of the defence contract or the source of supply." You will get all the defence secrets from the people who are on the pay rolls in Delhi. We know that and now you have instituted an inquiry. Instituting of an inquiry means some *prima facie* case is there. Without a *prima facie* case, nobody will order for an inquiry. So, our demand is, once you have ordered for an inquiry, you have to reveal what the *prima facie* case is, what initial information is available with you. Tell all these things to the people and to the Parliament and then the Parliamentary committee will decide how to proceed with the matter. Otherwise it will not be a fair enquiry in this regard. Madam, in this context I would like to mention two latest reports which appeared in the *Statesman* of 13th and 15th April. The report dated 13th April appeared with a headline "What price a submarine". I do not want to read all the report, but in conclusion some pertinent questions have been raised in that article. Mr. N. K. P. Salve very authoritatively quoted from the *Statesman* the other day. That is why I am quoting from the Statesman itself. In conclusion some pertinent questions have been raised by the newspaper in that article:

"Did the contract signed in 1981 not commit the Germans to a price for future purchases? Is that how the manufacturer held up the Government with a demand for almost twice the original price? Secondly, why was it that the Germans, who have agreed to a 20 per cent price reduction as recently as February, change their minds so quickly and send a formal communication to the Indian Embassy? Had they been assured

that the Government of India would accept their terms? If so, by whom?

And, finally, how is it that the Germans could insist on commission for their agent when it is now the established policy of the Government not to recognise middle-men? With Mr. V. P. Singh out of the scene, answers to these questions may never become public".

This is what the public and press of this country are thinking. These are some specific questions regarding these agreement. Is the Government prepared to divulge and answer these questions?

The other one which I would like to quote is from the *Statesman* dated 15th April. It says:

"Did the former Defence Minister, Mr. V. P. Singh, really take up a six-year-old defence deal to settle scores with the Prime Minister? On the contrary, the announcement of the inquiry appears to have been made only to ward off high-level pressure to buy two more submarines from West Germany as grossly inflated prices and with a controversial clause to pay commission to a non-resident Indian agent. In fact, the shoddy manner in which the first agreement was signed in 1981 is realised only now after the first German submarine reached India in February 1987". I want to know whether there, is any fact in this.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI ARUN SINGH): Madam, I do not know whether the hon. Member is posing a question or whether he is merely drawing the attention of the House to a report in a newspaper. In so far as the possibility that he is posing a question is concerned, I categorically state that there was no pressure on anybody—neither the then Defence Minister nor anybody else — to conclude any contract, future contract with somebody.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: You can deny anything. (*Interruptions*) For the last three or four days, you are doing this — anything and every thing you are denying (*Interruptions*)

SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY (Assam): Is it parliamentary? Madam, I want to raise the question. The hon. Member here says it is nonsense. I want to ask you, Madam; is it a parliamentary word? Can a Member use such a word?... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Mr. Minister, there is no meaning in your denials. All these days you have been denying everything and anything but the next morning new things are coming up. That is why we are demanding for appointing a committee of Parliament and place all these things before the committee and that committee will decide how to probe into it in future course... (*Interruptions*)... Newspapers are not waste papers. You please see.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF YOUTH AFFAIRS AND SPORTS AND WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): They are waste papers... (*Interruptions*)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please listen... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Madam, Mr. Arun Singh has stated... (*Interruptions*)...

There is no time.... (*Interruptions*)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: His time is up now.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Madam, please. Mr. Arun Singh has stated on page 3 of his statement, "I wish to categorically state before the House that the Department of Defence of the Government of India has not appointed any agent authorised to act on its behalf in "Nahin ji, there is no such practice".

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Kickback money is not paid to an appointed agent.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: That is the point.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: In that case it would not have been called as kickback.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: My point is that even the so-called agent itself is a bogus one. This was a benami transaction. Everybody knows where the money has gone... (*Interruptions*)... that is why you are spending crores and crores of rupees in West Bengal and Kerala during elections. ... (*Interruptions*)... That is reported in the press.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Madam, if an agent receives it, he has to pay income tax... (*Interruptions*) He is misleading the House. ... (*Interruptions*)... One has to show it in his income tax return.

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH (Maharashtra): I am on a point of order.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Madam, kickback is kicking at their backs; so they can't remain seated. ... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: You are the best proof of that because you are getting up too often. ... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH: Madam, the purpose of this debate was to bring out the proof or the lack of it by the opposition which has raised this issue. Instead of substantiating the allegations which have been raised in the press, all that is happening in this House is that honourable Member of the Opposition, Mr. Mohanan, is... (*Interruptions*)...

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह बिहार : मेरा पवाइंट आफ आर्डर है (व्यवधान)

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH: Madam, all that is happening in this House is that unfounded allegations which had been unsubstantiated and which had been published in various newspapers are being repeated.

DR. BAPU KALDATE (Maharashtra): What is the point of order? ... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: You are merely repeating what the newspapers have written. ... (*Interruptions*)... That is the point of order.

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH: Let this House not become a forum for just levelling these allegations. Let this debate not become a forum for hurling accusations with no substance. It is your duty, Madam Chairman, to see to it that the debate follows a certain standard, that the debate is not misused, that the forum of this House is not misused at the behest of foreign powers.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: You are misusing. I am neither misusing the House nor the public money. But they are misusing the public money. That is what we are saying. Everybody knows, Madam. If they allow me to speak, I will conclude; Otherwise, I will not.

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH: No proof, not one substance, nothing is backed. They are just indulging in all sorts of accusations. We are not going to tolerate this. If you are going to allow this debate to take place like this, we are not going to to lerate this.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Why are they so much provoked? Something is there. Madam, it shows that all is not well in the state of Denmark. I would like to quote from Hamlet. "All is not well in the state of Denmark". Some foul smell is there. It is not good for the public health of this country. We want to clean it. That is why we are raising these points here.

Madam, Mr. Arun Singh was only concerned when he was speaking in the other House regarding the premature publicity of the institution of an enquiry into the deal. What does he mean by premature publicity? What is the maturing time? You have mentioned that only with the permission of the Prime Minister it would be published. Is it so? What is the maturing time? You are concerned only about that. But the people of this country are concerned much more about the mishandling of the huge amount of money which we are allotting for the purpose of defence. I do agree with all in this country that we have to spend a lot of money to face the threat from foreign countries, especially the threat of the capitalist countries, imperialist countries in this region who are arming Pakistan with sophisticated arms.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: China is also giving.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Your Government has denied it. You do not read. You are so unknowledgeable that you do not read even. You are quoting from the Times of India. The Government has denied it.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: You are accusing communists of being imported elements. But the people of the country know who is the friend of this country and who is the enemy of this country. The people of the country know whether they are the capitalists or the communists. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: You are buying arms from the countries which are supplying arms to South Africa, and you are talking of patriotism.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Are really communists enemies of this country? You tell us. You are accusing us, communists. You are accusing that communism is an imported element, like that. But the people of the country know who their friend is and who their enemy is. Don't try to hit me down.

I would like to know from Mr. Arun Singh regarding his statement.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Mohanan, please conclude. Two minutes.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: He said that it was a premature publicity of this enquiry into all these things.

Madam we once again demand a parliamentary probe into the deals.

Madam, 41 Congress (I) MPs, including Mr. Kalpnath Rai, issued a statement that Mr. V. P. Singh was a Trojan Horse.

1 P.M.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Every now and then you are referring to Congress (I). This is not allowed. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Madam this is a public statement issued by them. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: This is a public statement issued by them. He is giving publicity to Kalpnath Rai. Why are you agitated?

SHRI K. MOHANAN: It is mentioned in the statement that Mr. V. P. Singh's decision has embarrassed the Prime Minister. This is exactly what we want to know. How the Prime Minister has been embarrassed by the decision of Mr. V. P. Singh? I would like to know whether he or his family or his relatives or any Italian mafia is connected with all these things? This is what we want to know.

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: Kindly ask him to withdraw. These points should be expunged. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI BIR BHADRA PRATAP SINGH (Uttar Pradesh): I protest very strongly against it.

SHRI SAT PAUL MITTAL (Punjab): This is insinuation. He must withdraw.

Madam, each and every word that he has used is unparliamentary.

SHRI K. MOHNAN: Is it unparliamentary? What is unparliamentary, Madam?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If it is irrelevant, it will not go on record.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Will they not accept any reference to Italy? How is this? You are so sensitive about refernce to Italy!

SHRI SAT PAUL MITTAL: Why are you so sensitive about Peking? (*Interruptions*)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Italy is in-law house of only one particular member of your party. Why are you so meticulous about reference to Italy?

SHRI SAT PAUL MITTAL: Peking is not your in-law's house.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The subject matter of discussion is instituting of inquiry on the involvement of a commission agent. So please confine to this subject only.

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: If the agent was Italian, can't it be referred to?

SHRI K. MOHANAN: The Congress (I) Members issued a statement in connection with the ordering of inquiry. That is what I am mentioning. In the statement they have mentioned... (*Interruptions*)

SHRI SAT PAUL MITTAL: Where is the proof? (Interruptions)

SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: I am on a point of order (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You please conclude.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: Once again I raise the demand and conclude. (*Interruptions*) Please allow me to conclude. (*Interruptions*) I am going to conclude. Once again I raise the demand to appoint a Parliamentary Committee to look into this.

SHRI SURESH KALMADI: He is saying the same thing.

SHRI K. MOHANAN: You may oppose me a thousand times and I will continue to raise it. I will say this is a corrupt Government. This is an incompetent Government to rule this country. This Government is a corrupt Government. That is why we are demanding a Parliamentary probe.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Darbara Singh.

SHRI DARBARA SINGH (Punjab): Madam Deputy Chairman,...

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE (West Bengal): Here is another ex-Chief Minister. Mr. Darbara Singh instead of Bhajan Lal.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: How does it affect you? Think about your own party.

SHRI DARBARA SINGH: Madam Deputy-Chairman, I would like to bring to your notice what Mr. Mohanan has said just now that destabilisation has taken place. I would like to speak on this point only — how this destablisation is going on and in what respect the responsibility of certain persons is there? क्योंकि वह अंग्रेजी में बोले हैं इसलिए मैं जरा उर्दू में आपका वक्त लूंगा। (व्यवधान) पंजाबी में भी हो सकता है। आप अंग्रेजी में बोलते हैं अकालियों का नुमाइंदा होकर भी। मेरी अर्ज यह है कि डिफेंस के मामले में बहुत गम्भीरता से सोचना चाहिये, यह एक सेंसेटिव मामला है। यह कहने से कि यह हो गया है और वह हो गया है, ठीक नहीं। इसमें एक बात हम जरूर सोचें आराम से कि क्या डिफेंस को इस ढंग से उसका फक्त उड़ाने के लिए हम यहां आये हैं या कि हम यह चाहते हैं कि कोई ऐसा मसला हो जिससे कि हमारा डिफेंस मजबूत हो। मुल्क कमजोर होता है जब आप डिफेंस पर फक्त उड़ाते हैं। आप बाहर के मुल्कों में जाकर देखिये वहां पर डिफेंस का जो मिनिस्टर है कोई स्टेटमेंट नहीं देता। लेकिन आप मजबूर करते हैं स्टेटमेंट देने के लिए, आप इस बात के लिए मजबूर करते हैं ताकि आप किस को और इन्फर्मेशन दे सकें और वह अखबारों में आए।... (व्यवधान)

SHRI K. MOHANAN: You are looting money from the exchequer in the name of security... (*Interruptions*).

श्रीमती रेणुका चौधरी : *

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Don't record. Let the hon. Member continue. Please sit down. It will not go on record... (*Interruptions*). You are making a speech. You cannot do that. Please sit down. Shri Darbara Singh, please continue.

श्री दरबारा सिंह : मैडम यह* तो नहीं है।... (व्यवधान) मैंने डिटेंबे नाइजेशन की बात की है। आप जरा सुन तो ल जिए। इसके प छे क्या क्या बैकग्राउन्ड है। इसका प्रोसेजर क्या है।... (व्यवधान)

Madam, this is not a * where you could speak like this.

श्रीमती रेणुका चौधरी :*

SHRI M. M. JACOB: Madam, I request you not to include interruptions in the record.

श्री दरबारा सिंह : मैडम, किसी के बोलते वक्त मैंने कभी दखल नहीं दिया, क्योंकि मैं समझता हूं कि पार्लियामेंट्री प्रोसीजर क्या है। बहुत कुछ हमने देखा है, सब कुछ समझा है, सुना है। नई आने वाली को कम पता हो सकता है। हम इसको कुछ न कुछ रिबेट देंगे।... (व्यवधान)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Reference to * or * will not go on record.

श्री दरबारा सिंह : जितनी इंटरप्शन हुई है, उसका पूरा वक्त मैं अपने वक्त में लूंगा। मैं अर्ज कर रहा था कि हमारी एक्सटर्नल डेंजर्स कितनी हैं, जब तक हम इसे समझ नहीं पायेंगे तब तक यहां की बहस बेमानी हो जायेगी। हमारी सिक्योरिटी एनवायरनमेंट जो पांच-छह साल में चल रही है, उसको भी पहले समझने की बात है। पहले तो यह है कि पाकिस्तान को एफ-16 दिये गये, यह किस बात के लिये दिये गये? सब समझते हैं कि अमरीका ने यह एफ०-16 इसलिये दिये हैं कि कैसे हिन्दुस्तान को, जो उभर रही ताकत है, उसको कमजोर कर स ते हैं। यही नहीं, अभी आपने देखा होगा कि इनको अवाक्स दिया गया है, यह किस लिये दिया गया है? यह भी उन्होंने साफ कहा है कि हम ट्रेनिंग भी देंगे, हम कवर भी देंगे। सब कुछ कहा। किस लिये कहा, यह समझने की बात है। तीसरी बात यह है कि उन्होंने कहा कि इसकी नेवी को माडर्नाइज करना है। अब कौन सी बात बाकी रह गयी है। हिंदुस्तान के खिलाफ एक ऐसे मुल्क को जो डिवाइड होकर चला गया है, उसको तैयार किया जा रहा है कि वह हिंदुस्तान के खिलाफ एक

*Not recorded.

[श्री दरबारा सिंह]

आवाज उठाकर नहीं बल्कि हमला करे और किसकी ताकत से हमला कर सके, यह समझने की जरूरत है । इसके साथ... (व्यवधान)...मेरी अर्ज तो सुन लीजिए... (व्यवधान)...

इसके साथ ही मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि क्वास्कर एक सज्जन हैं, सब जानते हैं उसको, उसने कहा है, उसको मैं कोट करना चाहता हूं...

"My desire is to get the capacity in the hands of Pakistan as quickly as possible."

जबकि वह इस्लामाबाद में यह बात कहते हैं । तो वह तीनों बातें, जो पहले मैंने कहीं, उसके साथ जो स्टेटमेंट उन्होंने दिया, उससे साफ जाहिर है कि कहां वह ले जाना चाहते हैं ? हमारे खिलाफ, हमारी सिक्योरिटी के खिलाफ बुलन्द आवाज होकर नहीं, ज्यादा से ज्यादा उनको मजबूत करके कहां तक ले जाना चाहते हैं ? यह बात हमें समझने की जरूरत पड़ेगी ।

महोदया, यू० एस० सेक्रेटरी जो हैं डिफेंस के उन्होंने कहा है कि पाकिस्तान नेवी को जरूरत है कि उनको ज्यादा मजबूत किया जाय । यह उन्होंने कहा है । मैं ऐसी बात नहीं कहूंगा, जो खामख्वाह ऐसी बात कह दूं, दूसरे जो पहले कहते रहे हैं । इसके साथ-साथ मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि एकोनौमिक और मिलिटरी असिस्टेंस कैसे मिल रही है, चार बिलियन डालर अमरीका ने किसको दिये ? पाकिस्तान को । यह बात अमरीका के कहने से भी पता चलती है और दुनियां के हालात जो अखबार में निकलते हैं, उससे भी कुछ न कुछ पता चलता है कि न्यूक्लीयर बम वह बना चुके हैं या बनाने के करीब हैं... (व्यवधान)...

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: They also have kickbacks in Pakistan. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI DARBARA SINGH: You are going out because of this. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Be quiet please. Let him speak.

श्री दरबारा सिंह : दूसरे जो न्यूक्लीयर बम का, किस लिये उनको दिया जा रहा है, वह कहीं चोरी से लाये या उनको दिया गया? कुछ भी हालात हों, उनके पास उन्होंने कहा है, उनके प्रेसीडेंट ने कहा है, उनके प्राइम-मिनिस्टर ने कहा है, दूसरे लोगों ने दूसरे मुल्क में जाकर कहा है कि ऐसी हमारे पास सारी सहूलियतें मुयस्सर हैं, जिनसे यह काम हो सकता है, लेकिन अभी हम नहीं करते । अब यू० एस० एड चाइना को कितनी दी गयी ? 550 मिलियन डालर । किसलिये यह दी गई, यह समझ में नहीं आता है । अमरीका किस चीज के लिये दे रहा है, समझने की जरूरत है । हम चाहते हैं कि चाइना से हमारे ताल्लुकात अच्छे हों । हम नहीं चाहते कि बार्डर पर हमारा झगड़ा कायम रहे । उसके लिये जितनी सहूलियत हो सके, वह करनी चाहिये । लेकिन अमरीका ऐसी बातें करेगा कि जिससे हिंदुस्तान का डिस्टेलाइबेजेशन हो ।

इसके साथ-साथ नेवल फोर्स का क्या हुआ ? 1947 के बाद चाइना और अमरीका के लोग विजिट करेंगे मिलकर और देखेंगे कि चाइना की नेवल फोर्स किस तरह से मजबूत हो सकती है, यह भी बीच में आया । बावजूद कि हम चाहते हैं, हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर चाहते हैं कि चाइना से हमारा झगडा न हो, हम साथी रहें, हम उन लोगों में से हैं जो कि प्रोग्रेसिव तौर पर आगे बढ़ सकें । लेकिन जो बाहरी ताकतें हैं, बाहरी जो लोग हैं वे उनको प्रोवोक करती हैं ताकि हिन्दुस्तान को कमजोर किया जा सके ।

इसके साथ-साथ श्रीलंका में ये ताकतें हमारे लोगों को खत्म करने के लिये लगी हुई हैं । इजरायल के लोग हैं, ब्रिटिश लोग हैं, यू० एस० ए० के लोग हैं जो उस काम में लगे हुये हैं, सब ताकतें इकट्ठी होकर उनका जैनोसाइड करना चाहती हैं । जो हमारी बहुत बड़ी लीडर लेट प्राइम मिनिस्टर थीं इंदिरा गांधी जी, क्या नहीं हुआ उनके खिलाफ । उनके रिमूवल के लिये क्या नहीं हुआ ? वे पार्लियामेंट में

न आयें, इसके लिये कोशिश की, बाहर बैठे लोग आज भी जरूर कहते हैं कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर को हटा दिया जाए। मैं भी कहता हूं कि ये फोर्सेज उस वक्त भी काम कर रहीं थी। प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने कहा कि हमें एड मिलती है या नहीं, पैसा मिलता है या नहीं, लेकिन हम अपनी आजादी को किसी के हाथ बेच नहीं सकते। यह उस वक्त लेट प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने कहा था। वही पालिसी जवाहर लाल की थी, वही इंदिरा गांधी की थी, वही आज हमारे नौजवान लीडर हमारे प्राइम मिनिस्टर आगे ले जा रहे हैं। क्या हुआ था उस वक्त जब हिंदुस्तान की लीडर का कत्ल हुआ था ? ... (व्यवधान)। मेरा ख्याल है कि ये सुनने की शक्ति रखते होंगे। जब यू० एस० डिपार्टमेंट ने इंक्वायरी कराई। हमें यह कहा गया कि इंदिरा गांधी अगर पार्लियामेंट के इलेक्शन के पहले न हो तो क्या होगा और इलेक्शन पार्लियामेंट का होने के बाद न हो तो क्या होगा ? पागल आदमी भी यह समझ सकता है आप भी समझ सकते हैं। ... (व्यवधान)। कैसे उन लोगों ने यह षडयंत्र रचा है कि कैसे लोगों को मारना है, कैसे कत्ल करना है।

फेयर-फैक्स पर मैं बाद में आपको बतलाऊंगा। हिंदुस्तान के लोगों के नान एस इनमेंट के लिये काम किया था, यह भी सच है कभी बात आएगी तो मैं आपको बतलाऊंगा।

मैं अपने आप को इस हद तक महदूद रखना चाहता हूं। यह जो ह डंग्रेव ने कहा, उसका नतीजा हमने भुगता। किसका हाथ है, कौन-कौन प्रोवोक कर रहे थे, यह मसल नहीं है। यह हमें पता है। बड़े-बड़े आदमी बड़ी-बड़ी बातें करते हैं, लेकिन उनके दिमाग छोटे हैं, जिस्म जरूर बड़े हैं। यू० एस० ए० ने इन सारी बातों के लिये चैलेंज दिया है। उन्होंने अपनी स्ट्रेटजी बदली है। प्राइम मिनिस्टर हमारे काबू में कैसे आ सकता है, इसके लिये उन्होंने कोशिश की और अपने मीडिया को खुश करने के लिये बहुत सी ऐसी बातें कहीं जिनसे कि प्राइम मिनिस्टर को पता न लगे कि इसमें पीछे कौन है ?... (व्यवधान)

श्री पर्वतनेनि उपेन्द्र : कुछ कहानी सुनाते हैं क्या ये ?

What is this? Is it relevant at all?

श्री दरबारा सिंह : आप नये आए हैं इसलिये आप को बैकग्राउण्ड का पता नहीं है। (व्यवधान) जब भी मैं यू०एस०ए० का नाम लेता हूं ये क्यों उखड़ जाते हैं समझ में नहीं आता। (व्यवधान) मीडिया का इस्तेमाल किया। खुद अपने बयानात देने शुरू किये जिससे यह पता लगे कि हम बहुत नजदीक हैं हिन्दुस्तान के। लेकिन जब प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने सामजवाद के लिये, गरीबों के लिये और दूसरी चीजों के लिये बड़े जोरों से कहा... (व्यवधान) आज ही हमारे दोस्तों ने कहा कि आपके रेजोल्यूशन में बहुत सी अच्छी चीजें हैं, डेमोक्रेटिक और दूसरी फोर्सेज को लेकर... (व्यवधान) मैं आप को सुनना नहीं चाहता, मैंने किसी को डिस्टर्ब नहीं किया ... (व्यवधान) ...

श्री दीपेन घोष : सोशलिज्म... (व्यवधान)...

SHRI DARBARA SINGH: On socialism you have to hear something more from me when I will have to say what I have seen.

श्री दीपेन घोष : पंजाब को डिस्टेबिलाइज्ड फोसज पर छोड़ दिया है और इधर हिन्दुस्तान को डिस्टेब्लाइज्ड करने की बात करते हैं। (व्यवधान)

श्री दरबारा सिंह : आप मुझे छेड़ रहे हैं फिर मुझे आप के बारे में कहना पड़ेगा (व्यवधान)

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह : मेरा प्वाइंट आफ आर्डर है...

उपसभापति : भाषण के बीच में कोई प्वाइंट आफ आर्डर नहीं होता। (व्यवधान)

श्री राम अवधेश सिंह : मैं यह पूछना चाहता हूं कि यह डिफेंस पर बोल रहे हैं या डिफेंस डील पर ?

श्री दरबारा सिंह : मैं डिफेंस पर बोल रहा हूं, आप सब डिफेंस पर ही बात कर रहे हैं (व्यवधान) He is the Deputy Chairman or somebody else? He is speaking unnecessarily. Kindly ask him to sit down and hear me. I am on my legs. मैं यह कह रहा था, प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने बड़े जोर से अपने मुल्क को बनाने के लिये जब बात कही और 104 मुल्कों को यहां एकत्र किया, जो मारे हुये थे, जो गुलाम थे, जो फंसे हुये थे और निकलना चाहते थे, आजाद होना चाहते थे उन्होंने इकट्ठा होकर यह फसला किया कि हम नान एलाइड हो कर उन लोगों के साथ खड़े होंगे जो दुनिया में पीस चाहते हैं, देश को बर्बाद होने नहीं देना चाहते... (व्यवधान)

श्री दीपेन घोष : आप ये सब बातें अपनी पार्टी मीटिंग में कहिये, इधर मत कहिये... (व्यवधान)

श्री दरबारा सिंह: जिन्होंने हिंदुस्तान का मार्गदर्शन किया है उस लीडर को हम... (व्यवधान)

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Madam, I want to seek a clarification. (*Interruptions*) what to seek a clarification.

क्या नौजवान प्रधान मंत्री जब डिफेंस मिनिस्ट्री के इंचार्ज थे तो क्या उन्हें इस डील के बारे में मालूम था... (व्यवधान)...

श्री दरबारा सिंह : यह आज की बात नहीं है।... (व्यवधान) लेट प्राइम मिनिस्टर जब 1978 में प्राइम मिनिस्टर नहीं थीं उस वक्त उनके खिलाफ क्या कुछ नहीं कहा गया। (व्यवधान) कहां किस कटघरे में आपने उनको खड़ा नहीं किया ? क्या कुछ इल्जाम आपने उन पर नहीं लगाये ? आज भी आप वही कर रहे हैं... (व्यवधान)... । मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि इन्टरनल फोर्सेज बहुत काम कर रही हैं...

(*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Chowdhury, you are interrupting every now and then. This is not allowed. This will not go on record.

SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY (Andhra Pradesh):*

(*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Chowdhury, did he mention your name? Please sit down. I am on my legs. (*Interruptions*) Every now and then you are interrupting. This is not correct. You go on shouting like anything. Please sit down, Mrs. Chowdhury. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: Madam, on a point of order. We may agree or we may disagree. We are debating a very serious issue. I want to draw your attention to Rule 235 which says that no member shall interrupt any member while speaking by disorderly expression or noises or in any other disorderly manner. (*Interruptions*) Madam, I submit that the minimum I expect as members from this side or that side is that they should seek your permission to interfere, otherwise this debate will go on like a battle, and ... (*Interruptions*) The entire purpose will be lost if this sort of battle is carried on. We will not interrupt you. You also do not interrupt us.

श्री राम नरेश कुशवाहा : (उत्तर प्रदेश) : मान्यवर, क्या रूल्स का पालन ये लोग भी करते हैं या खाली हमको ही उपदेश देते हैं... (व्यवधान) ।

SHRI N. K. P. SALVE: Anything which is undignified, which is defamatory or anything indecent, can be expunged from the proceedings. My respectful submission is that this sort of personal comments which are being made against each other, should be directed to be expunged from the proceedings.

(*Interruptions*)

*Not recorded.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: Our point was that it is internal to the Cabinet.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: On a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please be brief. It is time for lunch.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: The hon. Member referred to Rule 235 about orderly conduct within the House. This rule applies to the entire House. (*Interruptions*) It applies to the entire House equally irrespective of whether the Treasury Benches are involved or the Opposition is involved. (*Interruptions*) The rule of the House is the rule of the House. (*Interruptions*) This is precisely my point of order. It is the rule of the House. It should apply to the Treansury Benches and to the Opposition.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: On a point of order.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am giving my ruling.

SHRI PARVATHANENI UPENDRA: Mr. Darbara Singh may continue after lunch. History can induce better nap at that time.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I will request the Members on both the sides not to interrupt. Otherwise we cannot go ahead with the debate.

Now, the House stands adjourned for lunch. We will meet again at 2.30 P.M.

The House then adjourned for lunch at thirty-three minutes past one of the clock.

The House reassembled after lunch at thirty-two minutes past two of the clock, **The Deputy Chairman** in the Chair.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Darbara Singh to continue.

श्री दरबारा सिंह : मैडम मैं अर्ज कर रहा था कि सिर्फ एक्सटर्नल फोर्सेज नहीं एक्सटर्नल फोर्सेज अन्दर की फोर्सेज से मिल कर भी एक काम कर रही हैं जो कि पंजाब से शुरू हुआ। पाकिस्तान में उनको ट्रेनिंग दी गई पैसा दिया गया हर तरह की हेल्प की गई फण्डा मेंटलिज्म को हवा दी गई। आज जो वहां पर हो रहा है वह अकेले पंजाब के कुछ टेरोरिस्ट ही नहीं कर रहे हैं बल्कि यह उनको दी गई ट्रेनिंग का नतीजा है और फण्ड मेंटलिज्म को बढ़ावा दे कर के हिन्दुस्तान के डिवीजन के लिए बहुत मदद की जा रही है। इन सब बातों का आपको पता है। यही नहीं हिन्दुस्तान में भी ऐसी शाखाएं उठ रही हैं ग्रुप्स उठ रहे हैं पार्टियों के नाम पर यह सब हो रहा है। आपने देखा होगा कि आदम के नाम पर एक मुसलमानों से उठ रही जमात है जो कहती है कि मुसलमानों के साथ ज्यादती हो रही है। शिव सेना उठ रही है वह कहती है कि हमारा रास्ता ठीक है। वह कहते हैं कि पंजाब में हिन्दुओं को मारा जा रहा है। सब शाखाएं अपने तौर पर लगी हुई हैं। यह सारी चीजें साफ जाहिर करती है कि वह ताकत कहां से मिल रही है। एक बी०एच०पी० निकल आई है वह भी कहती हैं रिजर्वेशन के बावजूद भी यही कह रहे हैं कि हम ताकत हासिल करना चाहते हैं। ताकत किस तरह से हासिल करना चाहते हैं। वह मैंने बार बार अर्ज किया है आज भी करना चाहता हूं। वह यह हैं कि फण्ड मेंटलिस्ट्स जो हैं वह रिलीजीयस थेसेज का इस्तेमाल कर रहे हैं। इस बात को किसी तरह से खत्म किया जाना चाहिए। फंडामेंटलिज्म और कम्युनलिज्म आदि जितनी बातें हैं वह किसी बाहर की ताकत से मिल कर हमारे यहां उठ रही हैं इनको बन्द करना चाहिये और इन पर पाबन्दी लगायी जानी चाहिये। चाहे गुरुद्वारा हो मन्दिर हो मस्जिद हो, किसी भी जगह पर कोई जाता है तो उसे कोई रुकावट नहीं है लेकिन वह पहले इण्डियन बन कर के कहे कि हम परमात्मा और आत्मा का रिश्ता जोड़ने के लिए वहां जाते हैं उनका

[श्री दरबारा सिंह]

पोलिटिक्स से कोई ताल्लुक नहीं है। जब तक पोलिटिक्स को वहां से शुरू किया जाएगा फण्डामेंटलिज्म बढ़ेगा। मुल्क को तोड़ने वाली ताकतें बढ़ेंगी। बाहर से भी ताकत मिलेगी अन्दर से ताकत मिलेगी उसको रोका जाना चाहिये। अब यह कहा जा रहा है कि क्राईसिज आ गया है कांस्टीट्यूशनल क्राइसेज कहा जा रहा है, स्टोरीज प्लोट की जा रही है। Constitutional crisis is non-existent. It is not existing. Distorted versions about the Constitutional powers are floated in the media in order to show that there is some sort of an explosive situation.

यह बातें साफ जाहिर करती हैं कि कहीं न कहीं आग लगने के लिए कोशिश कि जा रही है, कोशिश कर रहे हैं। यह बात है कि मुल्क को डिस्टेब्लाइज कैसे किया जाए उसके लिए हमें कभी किसी नाम पर कहा जाता है कभी किसी नाम पर। आपके सामने रोज व रोज इतनी चीजें आती हैं। अभी फेयर फैक्स आया तो गवर्नमेंट ने कहा कि अगर इसमें कोई चीज है तो हम सुप्रीम कोर्ट के दो जजेज के हवाले करते हैं। दे बिल फाईंड आउट। लेकिन उससे पहले यह कहना शुरू करते हैं कि यह बात है, चोरी की गयी है, किसी ने पैसा लिया है, किसने कमीशन लिया है, यह किया है वह किया है। फिर किन किन लोगों ने कत्ल किया है, कहां कहां पैसा भेजा है। जंग के सामान जितने हैं किस-किस मुल्क में भेजे हैं, उनका भी हम पता लगा रहे हैं तो आप उन पर यकीन करते हैं हैं या हिंदुस्तान के लोगों पर यकीन करेंगे जो लोग कि इकट्ठे होकर इन सारी चीजों का मुकाबला करने के लिए तैयार हैं, आप भले ही यहां बैठे तैयार न हों, लेकिन सारा नेशन जो है वह एक मुल्क के तौर पर इस प्राइम मिनिस्टर के पीछे इस बात के लिए खड़ा है कि हम हिंदुस्तान को बचना चाहते हैं, हिंदुस्तान को डीइस्टेब्लाइज नहीं होने देना चाहते हैं। सारी चीजें अगर इस निगाह से देखेंगे तो मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि यह कैसे सवाल आ गया? फेयरफैक्स का भी आ गया। अब डिफेंस की बात आई तो डिफेंस में इन्होंने कहा कि इन्क्वायरी हो रही है, इन्क्वायरी का जो रिजल्ट होगा आपके सामने आ जायेगा। कभी कहते हैं 30 करोड़ लिया, किसने ले लिया, कहां से ले लिया, किसने दे दिया, किसकी बात है, कहां से खबर जाती है, कहां जाती हैं, कौन देता है। 20 दफा इन्कार किया है, खबर देने वाले ने कहा 3-4 घंटे में मैं खबर दूंगा। 3-4 घंटे के बाद खबर आती है कि मेरे पास यही खबर है और कुछ नहीं है। आज कोई बात ऐसी नहीं हैं जिसको लेकर गवर्नमेंट पर इस तरह से हमले किये जायें। यह कहा है कि 30 करोड़ की बंदर बांट हुई है और कहां तक पहुंचा हैं, कहां तक नहीं पहुंचा है। इसके पीछे मैं अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि सिवाय इस बात के कुछ नहीं है कि हम लीडर को कैसे डीइस्टेब्लाइज कर सकते हैं। मैं आज भी कहता हूं दिल से कहता हूं, आपसे यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि अब भी हिंदुस्तान में कोई ऐसा लीडर नहीं हैं जो हिन्दुस्तान की यकजहदी को सामने रखकर हिन्दुस्तान को आगे ले जाने के लिए कोशिश कर सके। वह लीडर एक ही है और वह प्राइम मिनिस्टर यही है जिनका नाम राजीव गांधी है। इनको लेकर हम आगे बढ़ें और हिंदुस्तान को डीइस्टेब्लाइज न होने दें। आपको कमी नजर आती है तो आप पाइंट आउट करें। प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने खुद कहा है जो चीज हमारे सामने आयेगी हम उसका ध्यान करेंगे। कई और बयान आ रहे हैं, कोई कुछ कह रहा है, कोई कुछ कह रहा है। अगर आप यह समझते हैं कि हिंदुस्तान को डीइस्टेब्लाइज नहीं होने देना है तो ये जो सीक्रेट्स हैं क्या इनसे फौज में डीमोरेलाइजेशन नहीं आयेगा, वहां काम करने वालों में नहीं आयेगा? अगर आप उन पर इन्सीनुएशन लगाते हैं? शायद उस कमेटी ने कुछ किया हो तो आपने सीधे तौर पर नहीं तो इनडायरेक्टली लगाया पर बात आई तो। इसका मतलब है कि कोई भी बहाना कर दें। क्या आप हिंदुस्तान की हिफाजत करने के लिए काम कर रहे हैं या उसको

डीइस्टेब्लाइज करने के लिए काम कर रहे हैं या हिंदुस्तान में किसी और की हुकूमत कायम करने के लिए कोशिश में लगे हुए हैं। यह हमें देखना पड़ेगा, इस गहराई तक जाना पड़ेगा तभी हमें पता लगेगा कि कमी हम पैदा कर रहे हैं या और कोई पैदा कर रहा है। इसके साथ-साथ मैं यह कहना चाहता हूं कि अपनी अक्ल से हमेशा काम लेना चाहिए दूसरे की उधारी अक्ल लेकर अगर हम काम शुरू करेंगे तो लाजिमी तौर पर दूसरे मुल्क नहीं चाहेंगे। इसीलिए इंदिरा गांधी जी का कत्ल हुआ। महात्मा गांधी को किसने कत्ल किया ? इस फंडामेंटलिज्म ने। आज वे चाहते हैं कि हिंदुस्तान का जो सबसे बड़ा लीडर है जो हिंदुस्तान में यकजहती, मजबूती और गैर फिरकेवाराना अखलाक पैदा करना चाहता है उसको खत्म किया जाये और इंदिरा गांधी का कत्ल इस लिए किया गया कि वे उन बेसिक प्रिंसिपल्स को आगे ले जाना चाहती थी जो पंडित जवाहर लाल नेहरू और महात्मा गांधी जी ने दिये थे। उनको रास्ते से हटाया गया और इसी ढंग से आज दूसरी सारी ताकतें इस बात में लगी हुई हैं कि इस प्राइम मिनिस्टर को और कुछ तो नहीं हो सकता तो इसको हटाया जाये और हटाने का तरीका यह है कि उनके खिलाफ और इनकी सरकार के खिलाफ इंसीनुएशन्स लगाये जायं, यह कहा जाये कि इन्होंने यह किया है वह किया है तो मैं कहना चाहता हूं कहीं यह बात न हो कि जो हम यहां बैठे हैं वे कहीं नजर न आयें। हम कहीं नजर नहीं आयेंगे, अगर हिंदुस्तान डीइस्टेब्लाइज हो गया तो हम कहीं नजर नहीं आयेंगे। वे नजर आयेंगे जिन्होंने डेढ़ सौ साल तक हमें गुलाम रखा, मुल्क को गुलाम रखा। आज उनकी बातें सुनकर उनके लोगों की बातें सुनकर उनकी एक्सिस की बातें सुनकर, उनके लगाये हुए गलत इल्जामात पर बेस करके हम अपनी पालिटिक्स बनाना चाहते हैं। मुझे अफसोस है इसका और इससे हमें निकलना चाहिए और यह बात नहीं करनी चाहिए। मेरे एक दो सवाल हैं मुझे उम्मीद है कि मिनिस्टर साहब इनका ध्यान रखकर जरूर जवाब देंगे। वे ये हैं : Was it not undue haste to order an open inquiry on the basis of inadequate and scanty information. Did you not suspect the information to be a part of disinformation campaign to launch another destabilisation offensive? Did it not affect the Government in the guise of an open enquiry which was intended to expose the entire Defence secrets and demoralise the Defence forces and personnel to undermine the security of India?

इन रिमार्क्स के साथ, मैडम, मैं एक अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि हमें एक ही दिन हुआ है—मैं उस रेजोल्यूशन पर लीडर आफ दि आपोजीशन से अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि हमने उसमें बड़े जोर से, बड़े दिल से कहा है, मजबूत से कहा है और एक्शन-ओरिएंटिड तौर पर एक्शन लेने के लिए कहा है कि आओ जो इस डेमोक्रेसी में यकीन करते हैं, जो यह चाहते हैं कि हम डेमोक्रेसी को कायम रखें, तमाम वह डेमोक्रेटिक फोर्सेज और दूसरे फोर्सेज, जो प्रोग्रेसिव फोर्सेज हैं, हम युनाइटेड्ली इस बात के लिए एक-एक घर तक बात पहुंचायें कि बाहर के फोर्सेज जो हमारा एक्सप्लोजर कर रहे हैं, हम उनको किनारे लगायेंगे।

आओ इकट्ठे होकर चलें और लोगों तक पहुंचायें। मुझे पता है कि कुछ फोसज नहीं जायेंगी। यह खुद इस डोस्टेबलाइजेशन के हिस्सेदार बन रहे हैं, वह नहीं जायेंग, लेकिन प्रोग्रेसिव फोर्सेज को तो हमारे साथ आगे बढ़ना चाहिए इसके लिए मेरा अपील है। मुझे खुशी है कि शोर मचाने वाले अभी तक पहुंचे नहीं हैं।

मेरा अर्ज यह है कि अब इसकी इन्क्वायरी जितनी है वह चलने दो। अगर कुछ निकलेगा, तो उसको सजा होगी। प्राइम मिनिस्टर ने खुद कहा है उन्होंने साफ तौर पर, आम तौर पर और बहुत साफ-सुथरी बात कही है उसके आगे आप क्या समझते हैं ? मिनिस्टर

[श्री दरबारा सिंह]

ने अपने बयान में कहा है, मिनिस्टरों ने अपने बयान में कहा है, रोज इस पर झगड़ा डालने से क्या फायदा है? और बहुत से हमें काम हैं कि हिंदुस्तान का इत्तहाम और दूसरे चीजें जो हैं, वह आगे बढ़ा पायें। उसके लिए हमें काम करना चाहिए या हम फिक्सड-अप रहें, इसी बात पर रहें कि डी-स्टेबेलाइजेशन हो सके हिंदुस्तान के, इसका तमाशा देखना चाहते हैं, यह मेरा अर्ज है कि इसमें जरा एहतराज करना चाहिए, रुकना चाहिए और यहीं यह बात खत्म कर लीजिए। आज ह जिस पर बहस करने है, कर लो और खत्म करो।

यह रोज का जो झंझट है, यह खत्म होना चाहिए और इस मुल्क को आगे बढ़ने के लिए मजबूती से जाने देना चाहिए।

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Just now I have come to know that Mr. V. P. Singh, Member of Rajya Sabha, has issued a statement to the press. It appears that it was his personal explanation. But since the Rajya Sabha is already seized of the issue and we are discussing the matter, and he is also present in the House, I think it would have been better—and all the more it would be better—if still he is called upon to make his personal explanation in the House itself so that we can take advantage of his statement to deal with the issue... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: It is his personal prerogative but I want to say that he may make a request to give clarification on the floor of the House. But it is his prerogative... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: If a Member is entitled to issue a statement to the press, at the same ime Parliament is entitled to know from that Member what exactly his reactions are to the statement made by the Minister of State for Defence, Mr. Arun Singh. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: But they should not try to silence him, as they do.

SHRI CHITTA BASU (West Bengal): Mr. V. P. Singh has chosen to make his position clear by issuing a statement to the press. Why has he not been given the opportunity to explain his position in this House? ... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Madam, Mr. V.P. Singh had to go to the Press because the ruling party has not given the opportunity to Mr V. P. Singh to give his explanation on the floor of the House. This is the point.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI CHITTA BASU: Madam, the question is, whether Mr. V. P. Singh sought your permission to make his position clear in the House and if so, if he seeks that kind of permission, he should be permitted to make his position clear. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: He has to seek the permission of Mr. M. M. Jacob.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Madam, I have raised a point of order. Of course, Mr. V. P. Singh is entitled to issue a statement to the Press It appears that he has preferred to issue a statement to the Press because he is being silenced by the ruling party to which he still belongs. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI VISHVJIT PRITHVIJIT SINGH: As an ex-Minister, he would have made the statement in the Lok Sabha. But Mr. V. P. Singh is not a Member of the Lok Sabha. It is because of that he has issued the statement to the Press (*Interruptions*)

SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Let that statement come from him, not from you. Let him explain it. Why are you explaining it on his behalf? (*Inter-*

ruptions) Madam, it is a question of the privilege of this House. (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: All of you please sit down. (*Interruptions*) Chairman has permitted Mr. V. P. Singh to make a personal explanation if he desires to do so. But you cannot force any Member to make a statement.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam,...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down, Mr. Jaswant Singh.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Madam, I am altogether on a diffedent matter. What you said is unexceptionable and it is well within the rights of the former Minister of Defence to choose to make a statement or not to choose to make a statement. However, the fact as it now obtains is that there is a Press statement issued by the former Minister of Defence when we are engaged in debating that very subject. It is entirely possible that some Members might refer to the Press statement issued by the former Minister of Defence. There might be questions which might be raised on it. It might be at variance with the Minister's or Governments position in which case.....

SHRI VISHWANATH PRATAP SINGH: It is not at variance.

(*Interruptions*)

SHRI ARUN SINGH: Madam, I am going to say something which applies equally to both sides of the House and I will be grateful if hon. Members kindly listen to it. Let me make it very clear, through you, to all the Members of the House that any effort by anybody to set me up against my ex-boss is not going to work, neither through innuendo, nor through insinuation nor through what I consider to be positively* discussions in the House. In the circumstances...

(*Interruptions*)

*Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA (Andhra Pradesh): Madam, I am on a point of order.

SHRI ARUN SINGH: May I complete? (*Interruptions*)

PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA, Madam, I am on a point of order. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Mr. Arun Singh, we are not blaming you. (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lakshmanna, you cannot interrupt like this. Let him complete whatever he wanted to say.

PROF C. LAKSHMANNA: I am in a point of order. You have to listen to it. Then, you can give your ruling.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. Let him complete and then I will give you a chance.

SHRI ARUN SINGH: Madam, I am making a very simple point. It has been given to me as a responsibility to answer this debate. I do think that there is nothing controversial in what I am trying to put across. In so far as any question asked by any Member of this House is concerned irrespective of the source from which they derive the question, as a Minister and part of the Government, it will be my endeavour to provide satisfaction in terms of my reply. Therefore, in the circumstances, I do not see why, for whatever purpose either the Members on this side or the Members on that side of the House should pick on an individual and try and use him as a puppet in very serious debate like this. I think this is not on.

I would earnestly appeal the hon. Members to go through the subject. It is a very critical subject and let us just debate the subject.